

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/051,311	PETERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christian L Fronda	1652	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 61 /FINAL REJECTION MAILED

(1) Christian L Fronda.

(3) Frank Cottingham.

(2) Judith Kim.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2004

**Time:** 1:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, written description requirement.

Claims discussed:

1-5 and 11-15

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The rejection of claims 1-5 and 11-15 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, was discussed. Applicants proposed amendments to the claims in order to over come this rejection of record.